UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,996	05/11/2006	Carsten Herpel	PD030116	5098
24498 7590 07/14/2011 Robert D. Shedd, Patent Operations THOMSON Licensing LLC			EXAMINER	
			VU, THANH T	
P.O. Box 5312 Princeton, NJ 08543-5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2175	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/14/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/578,996	HERPEL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	THANH VU	2175
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 A</u>,</li> <li>2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-21 is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-21 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper Notes Notice  J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application
PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Ac	ction Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20110713

Application/Control Number: 10/578,996 Page 2

Art Unit: 2175

# **DETAILED ACTION**

This communication is responsive to Amendment, filed 04/26/2011.

Claims 1-14 and 16 are pending in this application. In the Amendment, claim 15 have been cancelled, claims 17-21 have been added, and claims 1, 3, 10, 11 and 14 have been amended. This action is made Final.

## Claim Objections

Claims 19 and 21 are objected to because of the following informalities: the claims recite the term AV. The examiner assumes AV stands for "audio-visual" with respect to applicant's independent claims. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 21 recites the limitation "the at least one command". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,996

Page 3

Art Unit: 2175

Claims 1-14, 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dinallo et al. (US 5,929,857) and Collart et al. ("Collart", Pub. No. 2006/0181965).

Per claim 1, Dinallo teaches a method for automatically composing an electronic audio-visual menu for selection of playback of audio-visual data, wherein a first portion of audio-visual data and a first portion of menu data are retrieved from a first storage medium being an exchangeable pre-recorded medium, and wherein a second portion of audio-visual data and a second portion of menu data are obtained from a second data source (fig. 1), wherein said second portion of menu data has at least one attribute associated, the method comprising the steps of:

generating an initial menu from the first portion of menu data, the initial menu containing one or more visible, selectable buttons and at least one invisible placeholder that cannot be selected, wherein the placeholder has at least one attribute associated (col. 9, lines 1-12 and 35-42, col. 10, lines 30-42);

extracting said attribute associated with the second portion of menu data (col. 7, lines 22-25 and lines 53-58; col. 9, lines 1-12);

comparing said extracted attribute with said attribute associated with the placeholder; and based on said comparison, if both attributes match, replacing the placeholder with a visible and selectable button defined by the second portion of menu data (col. 7, lines 40-45 and lines 54-60; col. 9, lines 44-51; col. 10, lines 31-42).

Dinallo does not specifically teach wherein the second data source is different form said first storage medium, wherein said second portion of audio-visual comprises no menu data and wherein said second portion of menu data comprises audio-visual menu data." However, Collart teaches wherein the second data source is different form said first storage medium, wherein said

second portion of audio-visual comprises no menu data and wherein said second portion of menu data comprises audio-visual menu data (figs. 3; [0007]; [0044]; [0049]-[0051].) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the teaching of Collart in the invention of Dinnallo in order to provide the user with latest video content related a DVD storage medium.

Per claim 2, the modified Dinallo teaches method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of automatically detecting the availability of said second portion of menu data or of said second portion of audio-visual data (Dinallo, col. 7, lines 20-37; col. 10, lines 30-42).

Claim 3 is rejected under the same rationale as claim 1.

Per claim 4, the modified Dinallo teaches method or device according to claim 1, wherein the second data source is a local storage medium, and the data that defines the visible and selectable menu button replacing the placeholder contains a unique reference to the stored second portion of audio-visual data (Dinallo, fig. 1; storage medium 200 and 210).

Per claim 5, the modified Dinallo teaches method or device according to claim 1, wherein the data that defines the visible and selectable button replacing the placeholder further contains button location information or a specification of conditions for button activation (Dinallo, col. 8, lines 18-41).

Per claim 6, the modified Dinallo teaches method or device according to claim 1, wherein the data that defines the visible and selectable button replacing the placeholder further contains picture data defining the appearance of the button (Dinallo, col. 9, lines 40-51; col. 10, lines 30-42).

Per claim 7, the modified Dinallo teaches method or device according to claim 1, wherein the exchangeable storage medium is rewritable, and said second portion of audio-visual data and said second portion of menu data are also stored on said medium (Dinallo, fig. 1; storage medium 200 and 210).

Per claim 8, the modified Dinallo teaches method or device according to claim 1, wherein upon replacement of one or more placeholders by one or more visible and selectable buttons another, previously visible and selectable button defined in the first portion of menu data is disabled and switched invisible (Dinallo, col. 8, lines 29-31, lines 55-56; col. 9, lines 1-12 and lines 44-51).

Per claim 9, the modified Dinallo teaches method or device according to claim 1, wherein said second portion of menu data contains navigation chaining information (Dinallo, col. 9, lines 44-52).

Claim 10 is rejected under the same rationale as claim 1.

Per claim 11, the modified Dinallo teaches device according to claim 3, wherein the second data source is a local storage medium, and the data that defines the visible and selectable menu button replacing the placeholder contains a unique reference to the stored second portion of audio-visual data (Dinallo, fig. 2 shows a second data source 210; fig. 5 and col. 60-67; which states of menu buttons).

Per claim 12, the modified Dinallo teaches device according to claim 3, wherein the data that defines the visible and selectable button replacing the placeholder further contains button location information or a specification of conditions for button activation (Dinallo, *fig. 5 and col. 60-67; which states of menu buttons*).

Per claim 13, the modified Dinallo teaches device according to claim 3, wherein the data that defines the visible and selectable button replacing the placeholder further contains picture data defining the appearance of the button (Dinallo, fig. 5 and col. 9, lines 1-12 and 60-67 and col. 10, lines 30-35; which shows states of menu buttons having set of bitmaps).

Per claim 14, the modified Dinallo teaches Device according to claim 3, wherein the exchangeable storage medium is rewritable, and said second portion of audio-visual data and said second portion of menu data are also stored on said medium (Dinallo, fig. 1 shows exchangeable storage medium 142, 147 and 152; fig. 2 shows audio visual data menu are stored on medium 210).

Per claim 15, the modified Dinallo teaches device according to claim 3, wherein upon replacement of one or more placeholders by one or more visible and selectable buttons another, previously visible and selectable button defined in the first portion of menu data is disabled and switched invisible (Dinallo, col. 8, lines 29-31 and lines 50-54, which shows states of buttons and scroll off screen of menu).

Per claim 16, the modified Dinallo teaches device according to claim 3, wherein said second portion of menu data contains navigation chaining information (Dinallo, col. 10, lines 44-52).

Claims 17 and 20, the modified Dinallo teaches wherein the at least one invisible placeholder remains invisible if both attributes fail to match, based on said comparison (Dinallo, Dinallo, col. 8, lines 29-31 and lines 50-54; Collart, figs. 3; [0007]; [0029]; [0030]; [0044]; [0049]-[0051].)

Claim 18, the modified Dianallo teaches method according to claim 1, wherein the data replacing the at least one invisible place holder that is retrieved from the second data source comprises at least one command (Collart, figs. 3; [0007]; [0044]; [0049]-[0051].)

Claims 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dinallo et al. (US 5,929,857), Collart et al. ("Collart", Pub. No. 2006/0181965) and Raciborski (US 2006/0031785).

Claim 19 and 21, the modified Dinallo does not specifically teach wherein the at least one command is a playback command for playing AV content from a location which that is other than the first storage medium. However, Raciborski teaches at least one command is a playback command for playing AV content from a location which that is other than the first storage medium (fig. 2A; [0040].) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the teaching of Raciborski in the invention of the modified Dinnallo in order to provide the user with additinal video content related a DVD storage medium.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments in the Amendment have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

Applicants' primary argument is that Dinallo does not teach the limitations of "wherein the second data source is different form said first storage medium, wherein said second portion of audio-visual comprises no menu data and wherein said second portion of menu data comprises audio-visual menu data." In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the

rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

In this case, Collart teaches wherein the second data source is different form said first storage medium, wherein said second portion of audio-visual comprises no menu data and wherein said second portion of menu data comprises audio-visual menu data (figs. 3; [0007]; [0044]; [0049]-[0051].)

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

## *Inquiries*

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to THANH VU whose telephone number is (571)272-4073. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon- Fri 7:00AM - 3:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,996 Page 9

Art Unit: 2175

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William L. Bashore can be reached on (571) 272-4088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thanh T. Vu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2175